United States Bankruptcy Court Central District of California Northern Division Judge Deborah Saltzman, Presiding Courtroom 201 Calendar

Tuesday, November 9, 2021

Hearing Room

201

11:30 AM

9: - Chapter

#0.00 All hearings on this calendar will be conducted remotely, using ZoomGov video and audio.

Parties in interest and members of the public may connect to the video and audio feeds, free of charge, using the connection information provided below.

Individuals may participate by ZoomGov video and audio using a personal computer (equipped with camera, microphone and speaker), or a handheld mobile device (such as an iPhone or Android phone). Individuals may opt to participate by audio only using a telephone (standard telephone charges may apply).

Neither a Zoom nor a ZoomGov account is necessary to participate and no preregistration is required. The audio portion of each hearing will be recorded electronically by the Court and constitutes its official record.

Video/audio web address: https://cacb.zoomgov.com/j/1613388546

ZoomGov meeting number: 161 338 8546

Password: 724556

Telephone conference lines: 1 (669) 254 5252 or 1 (646) 828 7666

For more information on appearing before Judge Saltzman by ZoomGov, please see the information entitled "Tips for a Successful ZoomGov Court Experience" on the Court's website at: https://www.cacb.uscourts.gov/judges/honorable-deborah-j-saltzman under the tab "Telephonic Instructions."

Docket 0

Tentative Ruling:

- NONE LISTED -

Judge Deborah Saltzman, Presiding Courtroom 201 Calendar

Tuesday, November 9, 2021

Hearing Room

201

11:30 AM

9:21-10262 Ernesto Ramos Torres

Chapter 13

#1.00 HearingRE: [32] Notice of motion and motion for relief from the automatic stay with supporting declarations PERSONAL PROPERTY RE: 2017 Chevrolet Tahoe, VIN: 1GNSCBKC3HR322570. (Ith, Sheryl)

Docket 32

Tentative Ruling:

No tentative ruling. This is a **FINAL RULING**. This motion was set for hearing in accordance with Local Bankruptcy Rule ("LBR") 9013-1(d). The failure of any party to file written opposition at least 14 days prior to the hearing pursuant to LBR 9013-1(f) is deemed consent to the granting of the motion. LBR 9013-1(h); *Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995). Further, because the court is granting the relief that the moving party requested and for which a *prima facie* case has been established, an actual hearing is not necessary. *Boone v. Burk (In re Eliapo)*, 468 F.3d 592, 602 (9th Cir. 2006). Their defaults are entered and the court will resolve the matter without oral argument. LBR 9013-1(j)(3). **NO APPEARANCE IS NECESSARY**.

GRANT under 11 U.S.C. § 362(d)(1). GRANT as binding despite conversion. GRANT waiver of FRBP 4001(a)(3) stay.

MOVANT TO LODGE ORDER WITHIN 7 DAYS.

Party Information

Debtor(s):

Ernesto Ramos Torres Represented By

Michael B Clayton

Movant(s):

AmeriCredit Financial Services, Inc.

Represented By Sheryl K Ith

11/9/2021 9:50:11 AM

Page 2 of 22

United States Bankruptcy Court Central District of California Northern Division Judge Deborah Saltzman, Presiding

Courtroom 201 Calendar

Tuesday, November 9, 2021

Hearing Room

201

11:30 AM

CONT... Ernesto Ramos Torres

Chapter 13

Trustee(s):

Elizabeth (ND) F Rojas (TR)

Pro Se

Judge Deborah Saltzman, Presiding Courtroom 201 Calendar

Tuesday, November 9, 2021

Hearing Room

201

11:30 AM

9:21-10225 Francis Edwin Robertson

Chapter 7

#2.00 CONT'D Hearing

RE: [22] Motion to Avoid Lien Judicial Lien with Jessica Bailey

FR. 8-31-21

Docket 22

Tentative Ruling:

This tentative ruling covers matters 2 and 3.

It appears that the debtor is only claiming a \$175,000 homestead exemption. With the record as it is, the court doesn't have enough evidence to grant either motion of the debtor. The court can't resolve the value of the property with the current record -- even if the debtor did have a declaration to authenticate Mr. Thermos's appraisal. It appears that any value in excess of \$426,693.58 would leave some equity to pay the first-recorded judgment lien of Jessica Bailey. Once the court makes a finding on value after an evidentiary hearing, wouldn't John Bailey's later-recorded lien be avoidable in any event (because Jessica Bailey's lien is in the amount of \$382,533)?

If the debtor is claiming a homestead exemption of only \$175,000, the court will need to set an evidentiary hearing regarding the value of the property and the motion to avoid Jessica Bailey's lien. Since the value of the property is not clearly established, the motion to avoid John Bailey's lien (Docket No. 23, Cal. No. 3) will also be continued.

Party Information

Debtor(s):

Francis Edwin Robertson Represented By

Luis Esparza

Movant(s):

Francis Edwin Robertson Represented By

Luis Esparza

Judge Deborah Saltzman, Presiding Courtroom 201 Calendar

Tuesday, November 9, 2021

Hearing Room

201

11:30 AM

CONT... Francis Edwin Robertson

Chapter 7

Trustee(s):

Jeremy W. Faith (TR)

Pro Se

Judge Deborah Saltzman, Presiding Courtroom 201 Calendar

Tuesday, November 9, 2021

Hearing Room

201

11:30 AM

9:21-10225 Francis Edwin Robertson

Chapter 7

#3.00 CONT'D Hearing

RE: [23] Motion to Avoid Lien Judicial Lien with John Bailey

FR. 8-31-21

Docket 23

Tentative Ruling:

- NONE LISTED -

Party Information

Debtor(s):

Francis Edwin Robertson Represented By

Luis Esparza

Movant(s):

Francis Edwin Robertson Represented By

Luis Esparza

Trustee(s):

Jeremy W. Faith (TR) Pro Se

Judge Deborah Saltzman, Presiding Courtroom 201 Calendar

Tuesday, November 9, 2021

Hearing Room

201

11:30 AM

9:20-10554 CPESAZ LIQUIDATING, INC.

Chapter 11

#4.00 Hearing

RE: [1019] Motion to Remove Professional Individual CPES ESOP Participants' Notice of Motion and Motion to Disqualify Faegre Drinker Biddle & Reath LLC and Request for Issuance of Show Cause Order; Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Support

Docket 1019

*** VACATED *** REASON: Continued to November 17, 2021 at 11:30 a.m.

Tentative Ruling:

- NONE LISTED -

Party Information

Debtor(s):

CPESAZ LIQUIDATING, INC. Represented By

Ryan M Salzman Scott F Gautier Vince Slusher Maria Cho

Movant(s):

Bob Bennetti Represented By

David R Johanson Scott H McNutt

Judge Deborah Saltzman, Presiding Courtroom 201 Calendar

Tuesday, November 9, 2021

Hearing Room

201

11:30 AM

9:21-10261 37 Ventures, LLC and Larada Sciences, Inc.

Chapter 11

#4.10 CONT'D Hearing

RE: [129] Motion for 2004 Examination (Beiswenger, Jacob)

Fr. 7-13-21, 7-22-21, 7-27-21, 8-3-21, 8-10-21, 8-17-21, 8-31-21, 9-14-21, 9-28-21, 10-12-21, 10-26-21

Docket

129

*** VACATED *** REASON: Continued to 11/30/21, at 11:30 a.m.

Tentative Ruling:

- NONE LISTED -

Party Information

Debtor(s):

37 Ventures, LLC Represented By

Gary E Klausner Jeffrey S Kwong Eve H Karasik Ron Bender

Larada Sciences, Inc. Represented By

Derrick Talerico

Movant(s):

Knight and Bishop, L.P. Represented By

Jacob Beiswenger

Judge Deborah Saltzman, Presiding Courtroom 201 Calendar

Tuesday, November 9, 2021

Hearing Room

201

11:30 AM

9:21-10261 37 Ventures, LLC and Larada Sciences, Inc.

Chapter 11

#4.20 CONT'D Hearing RE: Disclosure Statement - Disclosure Statement to Accompany Debtors' Joint Plan of Reorganization Dated July 16, 2021 - Filed by Debtor 37 Ventures, LLC. (Klausner, Gary)

FR . 8-31-21, 10-26-21

Docket 213

Tentative Ruling:

- NONE LISTED -

Party Information

Debtor(s):

37 Ventures, LLC Represented By

Gary E Klausner Jeffrey S Kwong Eve H Karasik Ron Bender

Larada Sciences, Inc. Represented By

Derrick Talerico

Judge Deborah Saltzman, Presiding Courtroom 201 Calendar

Tuesday, November 9, 2021

Hearing Room

201

11:30 AM

9:21-10451 James Rios Parga

Chapter 13

Adv#: 9:21-01026 Renteria et al v. Parga

#5.00 Status HearingRE: [1] Adversary case 9:21-ap-01026. Complaint by Santos Renteria, Norma Renteria against James Rios Parga. R)

Docket 1

Tentative Ruling:

- NONE LISTED -

Party Information

Debtor(s):

James Rios Parga Represented By

Reed H Olmstead

Defendant(s):

James Rios Parga Represented By

Reed H Olmstead

Plaintiff(s):

Santos Renteria Represented By

R Grace Rodriguez

Norma Renteria Represented By

R Grace Rodriguez

Trustee(s):

Elizabeth (ND) F Rojas (TR) Pro Se

Judge Deborah Saltzman, Presiding Courtroom 201 Calendar

Tuesday, November 9, 2021

Hearing Room

201

1<u>1:30 AM</u>

9:17-11363 Rajysan, Inc.

Chapter 11

Adv#: 9:18-01040 THE OFFICIAL COMMITTEE OF UNSECURED CREDITORS of t v.

#6.00 Hearing

RE: [227] Motion Notice of Motion and Motion for Order: (1) Compelling Testimony of the PMK of Armanino, LLP; (2) Compelling the Production of Documents by Armanino, LLP; and (3) Awarding Attorneys Fees and Costs; Memorandum of Points and Authorities; and Declaration of Chad V. Haes in Support, with Proof of Service [Hearing: 11/09/2021 at 11:30 a.m.]

Docket 227

*** VACATED *** REASON: Order Taking Discovery Motion Off Calendar entered on 10/29/21

Tentative Ruling:

- NONE LISTED -

Party Information

Debtor(s):

Rajysan, Inc. Represented By

Andrew Goodman

Defendant(s):

Gurpreet Sahani Represented By

Lewis R Landau Stephen E Hyam

Rajinder Sahani Represented By

Lewis R Landau Stephen E Hyam

Amarjit Sahani Represented By

Lewis R Landau Stephen E Hyam

Shaheen Sahani Represented By

Lewis R Landau Stephen E Hyam

11/9/2021 9:50:11 AM

Page 11 of 22

Judge Deborah Saltzman, Presiding Courtroom 201 Calendar

Tuesday, November 9, 2021	Hearing Room	201
---------------------------	--------------	-----

11:30 AM

CONT... Rajysan, Inc. Chapter 11

Gurpreet Sahani as Trustee of The Represented By

Lewis R Landau Stephen E Hyam

Movant(s):

THE OFFICIAL COMMITTEE OF Represented By

Chad V Haes D Edward Hays Tinho Mang

Plaintiff(s):

THE OFFICIAL COMMITTEE OF Represented By

Chad V Haes D Edward Hays Tinho Mang

Trustee(s):

Sandra McBeth (TR) Represented By

Timothy J Yoo Adam D Grant Todd M Arnold Carmela Pagay

United States Bankruptcy Court Central District of California Northern Division Judge Deborah Saltzman, Presiding

Courtroom 201 Calendar

Tuesday, November 9, 2021

Hearing Room

201

11:30 AM

9:19-10117 Carolina Chavez

Chapter 7

Adv#: 9:19-01037 McBeth v. Chavez

#7.00 Status Hearing

RE: [1] Adversary case 9:19-ap-01037. Complaint by Sandra K. McBeth against Javier Chavez. (Charge To Estate). Nature of Suit: (31 (Approval of sale of property of estate and of a co-owner - 363(h))) (Sholder, Joseph)

FR. 9-26-19, 12-17-19, 3-24-20, 8-4-20, 10-14-20, 2-3-21, 5-5-21, 5-11-21, 8-17-21

Docket 1

*** VACATED *** REASON: Continued to February 8, 2022, at 11:30

a.m.

Tentative Ruling:

- NONE LISTED -

Party Information

Debtor(s):

Carolina Chavez Represented By

Andrew S Mansfield - INACTIVE -

Defendant(s):

Javier Chavez Represented By

Larry D Webb

Plaintiff(s):

Sandra K. McBeth Represented By

Joseph M Sholder Felicita A Torres

Trustee(s):

Sandra McBeth (TR) Represented By

Joseph M Sholder Felicita A Torres

11/9/2021 9:50:11 AM

Judge Deborah Saltzman, Presiding Courtroom 201 Calendar

Tuesday, November 9, 2021

Hearing Room

201

1<u>1:30 AM</u>

9:19-10312 Sergio Garcia Villanueva

Chapter 7

Adv#: 9:20-01054 Namba v. Valero et al

#8.00 Status Hearing

RE: [1] Adversary case 9:20-ap-01054. Complaint by Jerry Namba against Caleb Valero, Adriana Valero. (\$350.00 Fee Charge To Estate). (Attachments: # 1 Summons) Nature of Suit: (11 (Recovery of money/property - 542 turnover of property)),(14 (Recovery of money/property - other)) (Horowitz, Carissa)

FR. 1-4-21, 5-5-21, 5-11-21, 8-10-21

Docket 1

*** VACATED *** REASON: Stipulated order entered on 11-8-21. Hearing continued to February 22, 2022 at 11:30am.

Tentative Ruling:

- NONE LISTED -

Party Information

Debtor(s):

Sergio Garcia Villanueva Represented By

Matthew D. Resnik

Defendant(s):

Caleb Valero Represented By

Steven J Shapero

Adriana Valero Represented By

Steven J Shapero

Plaintiff(s):

Jerry Namba Represented By

Carissa N Horowitz

Trustee(s):

Jerry Namba (TR) Represented By

William C Beall Carissa N Horowitz

11/9/2021 9:50:11 AM Pag

Page 14 of 22

United States Bankruptcy Court Central District of California Northern Division Judge Deborah Saltzman, Presiding Courtroom 201 Calendar

Tuesday, November 9, 2021

Hearing Room

201

11:30 AM

CONT... Sergio Garcia Villanueva

Chapter 7

Judge Deborah Saltzman, Presiding Courtroom 201 Calendar

Tuesday, November 9, 2021

Hearing Room

201

11:30 AM

9:20-10111 Kiki Leigh Scheiblauer

Chapter 7

Adv#: 9:20-01037 Griffith & Thornburgh, LLP et al v. Scheiblauer

#9.00 CONT'D Status Hearing

RE: [1] Adversary case 9:20-ap-01037. Complaint by Griffith & Thornburgh, LLP, Paul Capritto against Kiki Leigh Scheiblauer. false pretenses, false representation, actual fraud)) (Torres, Felicita)

FR. 8-25-20, 11-10-20, 12-9-20, 2-10-21, 8-3-21

Docket 1

Tentative Ruling:

- NONE LISTED -

Party Information

Debtor(s):

Kiki Leigh Scheiblauer Represented By

James Studer

Defendant(s):

Kiki Leigh Scheiblauer Represented By

James Studer

Plaintiff(s):

Griffith & Thornburgh, LLP Represented By

Felicita A Torres

Paul Capritto Represented By

Felicita A Torres

Trustee(s):

Jerry Namba (TR) Pro Se

Judge Deborah Saltzman, Presiding Courtroom 201 Calendar

Tuesday, November 9, 2021

Hearing Room

201

11:30 AM

9:20-11023 **Lonnie August** Chapter 7

Adv#: 9:20-01056 Sanches v. August et al

CONT'D Pre-Trial Conference #10.00

> RE: [1] Adversary case 9:20-ap-01056. Complaint by Marta G. Sanches against Lonnie August, Roxann August. false pretenses, false representation, actual fraud)),(41 (Objection / revocation of discharge - 727(c),(d),(e))) (Salinas, Allan)

FR. 5-11-21, 07-13-21, 8-24-21

Docket 1

Tentative Ruling:

No order was ever lodged assigning the matter to mediation; just a notice of lodgment.

Do the parties need another continuance of the pretrial conference?

Party Information

Debtor(s):

Lonnie August Represented By

Todd J Mannis

Defendant(s):

Lonnie August Represented By

Janet A Lawson

Roxann August Represented By

Janet A Lawson

Joint Debtor(s):

Represented By Roxann August

Todd J Mannis

Plaintiff(s):

Marta G. Sanches Represented By

Allan K Salinas

11/9/2021 9:50:11 AM

Page 17 of 22

Judge Deborah Saltzman, Presiding Courtroom 201 Calendar

Tuesday, November 9, 2021

Hearing Room

201

11:30 AM

CONT... Lonnie August

Chapter 7

Trustee(s):

Sandra McBeth (TR)

Pro Se

Judge Deborah Saltzman, Presiding Courtroom 201 Calendar

Tuesday, November 9, 2021

Hearing Room

201

11:30 AM

9:19-10291 Golf View Lane Limited Partnership, a California L

Chapter 11

Adv#: 9:19-01058 Golf View Lane Limited Partnership, a California L v. Pinnacle Estate

#11.00 HearingRE: [112] Stipulation By Golf View Lane Limited Partnership, a California Limited Partnership and Stipulation That Banking Institutions For Accounts Allegedly In Name Of Chapter 7 Estate Of Valley Enterprises Ts, Inc. (1:20-Bk-11784-Mb) Produce Documents

Docket 112

Tentative Ruling:

Mr. Pasco has objected to the stipulation entered into by the Debtor and the Trustee (the "Stipulation").

Standing

A person commanded to produce document or tangible things or to permit inspection may serve on the party or attorney designated in the subpoena a written objection to inspecting, copying, testing, or sampling any or all of the materials or to inspecting the premises. Fed. R. Civ. P. 45(d)(2) (B). A motion to quash or modify a subpoena is permitted in order to protect a person subject to or affected by a subpoena. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 45(d)(3)(B).

Only the third party on which the subpoena is served has standing to object to alleged defects in the method of service. *Jiae Lee v. Dong Yeoun Lee*, 2020 WL 7890868, at *5 (C.D. Cal. Oct. 1, 2020) (citing *Donahoo v. Ohio Dep't of Youth Servs.*, 211 F.R.D. 303, 306 (N.D. Ohio 2002)). Similarly, only the party to which the subpoena is directed has standing to object to the requests on the grounds that they are irrelevant, vague, overbroad, duplicative, unduly burdensome, etc. *Id.*

The statute and the case law are clear. Mr. Pasco does not have standing to object to the subpoenas, which were directed toward Boston Private Banking & Trust Co, JP Morgan Chase Bank, N.A, and East West Bank. Mr. Pasco's proper method to contest the subpoenas would have been a motion to quash or modify brought under FRCP 45(d)(3)(B). While Mr. Pasco may argue that the objection to the Stipulation is not an objection to

Judge Deborah Saltzman, Presiding Courtroom 201 Calendar

Tuesday, November 9, 2021

Hearing Room

201

11:30 AM

CONT... Golf View Lane Limited Partnership, a California L

Chapter 11

the subpoena, this is simply not the case. Mr. Pasco's objection to the Stipulation asks the court to rule that the subpoenas are invalid. It is clearly an attempt to object to the subpoenas themselves.

The Stay

A petition filed under section 301, 302, or 303 of the Bankruptcy Code operates as a stay, applicable to all entities, of the commencement or continuation, including the issuance or employment of process, of a judicial, administrative, or other action or proceeding against the debtor that was or could have been commenced before the commencement of the case under the Bankruptcy Code, or to recover a claim against the debtor that arose before the commencement of the case. 11 U.S.C. § 362(a)(1).

Section 362(a)(1) applies only to actions against a debtor. *In re Miller*, 262 B.R. 499, 503 (9th Cir. BAP 2001). Section 362(a), therefore, does not stay actions against guarantors, sureties, corporate affiliates, or other non-debtor parties liable on the debts of the debtor. *Id* at 503-504.

Again, the statute and the case law are clear. Jose Pasco is not a debtor. The automatic stay does not apply to him. The banking institutions are not debtors. The automatic stay does not apply to them. The issuance of the third-party subpoenas was not in violation of the automatic stay of either the Debtor or VETS.

The Stipulation

Even though the objections of Mr. Pasco are meritless, the Stipulation itself is somewhat problematic. The banking institutions subject to the subpoenas have refused to produce documents without a court order, some in response to Mr. Pasco's first attempt to object to the subpoenas. The Trustee and Debtor seem to be seeking a comfort order so the banking institutions comply with their requests.

First off, the banking institutions are not a party to the stipulation. It isn't clear how the Trustee and counsel for the Debtor can stipulate that the banking institutions "shall comply with the subpoenas ..."

Next, the stipulation states that the Debtor and the Trustee agree that

Judge Deborah Saltzman, Presiding Courtroom 201 Calendar

Tuesday, November 9, 2021

Hearing Room

201

11:30 AM

CONT... Golf View Lane Limited Partnership, a California L

Chapter 11

"[t]he Objections are invalid as they did not properly comply with Fed. R. Civ. P. 45." That may be the case, but the stipulating parties should not stipulate to conclusions of law.

If the parties want the court to approve the Stipulation as some sort of comfort order, some changes to the language are necessary.

Party Information

Debtor(s):

Golf View Lane Limited Partnership, Represented By

Joseph G McCarty M. Jonathan Hayes Robert M Yaspan

Defendant(s):

Pinnacle Estate Properties, Inc. Represented By

Alana B Anaya

Garen Gary Keshishyan Represented By

Alana B Anaya

Levis Pasco Obando Represented By

Alana B Anaya

Valley Enterprises TS, Inc. Represented By

Anthony N Ranieri Michael Jay Berger

Jose Pasco Represented By

Anthony N Ranieri

Closing Agents, Inc. Pro Se

DOES 1 through 25, inclusive Pro Se

Igya Demirci Pro Se

Plaintiff(s):

Golf View Lane Limited Partnership, Represented By

11/9/2021 9:50:11 AM

Page 21 of 22

United States Bankruptcy Court Central District of California Northern Division Judge Deborah Saltzman, Presiding Courtroom 201 Calendar

Tuesday, November 9, 2021

Hearing Room

201

11:30 AM

CONT... Golf View Lane Limited Partnership, a California L

Robert M Yaspan

Chapter 11